

GREYHOUND WELFARE & INTEGRITY COMMISSION

DISCIPLINARY ACTION DECISION

Date of decision:	6 August 2025
Decision-makers:	Steward Geoff Page and Steward Jason Hodder
Name of relevant person:	Mr Troy Dunn
Date:	N/A
Rule no:	156(a)
Charge(s):	Mr Dunn did something, in the opinion of the Controlling Body, constitutes an offence, by undertaking training activities whilst under suspension
Plea:	Guilty
Disciplinary action taken:	To issue a fine of \$400; and To issue a four-week suspension, wholly and conditionally suspended for a period of 12 months, conditional on Mr. Dunn not breaching this, or any like, rule in this period.

INVESTIGATION:

1. Mr Dunn was, at all relevant times, a registered Owner Trainer with the Greyhound Welfare and Integrity Commission.
2. On Friday 23 May 2025 Mr Dunn was suspended for a period of six weeks, commencing 27 May 2025 and expiring 7 July 2025.
3. During this period Mr Dunn engaged in the training of greyhounds.
4. Training greyhounds whilst under suspension is an offence under Rule 178(2)(d) of the Greyhound Racing Rules

DECISION:

5. On Friday 18 July 2025 Mr Dunn was issued with a notice of charge and proposed disciplinary action ("**Notice**").
6. In the Notice issued on 18 July 2025 the decision makers charged Mr Dunn with an offence under Rule 156(a) of the Greyhound Racing Rules, which reads:

Rule 156(a), Rules

An offence is committed if a person (including an official):

(a) contravenes any of the Rules

7. The Notice invited Mr Dunn to attend a hearing at which time he would have the opportunity to enter a plea and make submissions in relation to the Charge and proposed penalty.
8. On Friday 18 July 2025 Mr Dunn contacted decision makers and;
 - entered a plea of guilty; and
 - provided written submissions
9. On Wednesday 6 August 2025 Mr Dunn attended a hearing held via Microsoft Teams and;
 - provided verbal submissions
10. Following consideration of Mr Dunn's plea and submissions, the decision makers found the charge proven and determined to impose the following disciplinary action upon him:

Charge 1 – Rule 145(1) – To issue a fine of \$400; and

To issue a four-week suspension, wholly and conditionally suspended for a period of 12 months, conditional on Mr. Dunn not breaching this, or any like, rule in this period.

11. Normally a penalty for such an offence would warrant a period of suspension, therefore the disciplinary action taken in this decision should not act as a precedent for participants in a similar situation to Mr Dunn, due to the unique circumstances of the case and the degree of mitigation that was determined.
12. In taking this disciplinary action, the Commission considered all relevant material and evidence, including:
 - Mr Dunn's guilty plea;
 - Mr Dunn's disciplinary record, with no prior like offences; and
 - Mr Dunn's personal and professional circumstances.

.....End.....